

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/017,655	12/06/2001		Nainesh P. Shah	T148	. 7784
7:	590	08/09/2004		EXAMINER	
Himanshu S. Amin				ST CYR, DANIEL	
National City C 24th Floor	Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 East 9th S	Street			2876	
Cleveland, OH 44114				DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/017,655	SHAH, NAINESH	P.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876						
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ition appears on the cover sl	neet with the correspondence ad	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNIC	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimularly period will apply and will expire SIX I, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed on of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>20 May 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.							
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-22</u> is/are pending	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-22 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requireme	:nt.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the B								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	·		• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	y the Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form P	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been receive ocuments have been receive the priority documents have	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National	Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action (13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78.	for a list of the certified copie domestic priority under 35 Under 36 Under	es not received. J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona pecification or in an Application	• •					
 a)	domestic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	•					
Attachment(s)								
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	9-948) 5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO ner: .						

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the applicant communication filed 5/20/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al, US Patent No. 5,672,858, in view of Clack et al, US Patent No. RE37,635.

Li et al disclose an apparatus and method for reading indicia using charge coupled device and scanning laser beam technology comprising: a photodetector 409 for detecting the reflection of light 440 from the scanning light beam 430 off the UPC symbol 411; a CCD 404 separately images the reflected light 440 from the UPS code symbol 413; a processor 420, includes a conventional decoder 420a and symbol discriminator 420b, the discriminator 420b determines if the symbol 413 being read by the CCD is of a particular symbology type, e.g. a matrix code conforming to UPSCODE(TM) symbology; a processor 424, including a conventional decoder 424a and symbol discriminator 424b, is provided to determine if the symbol 411 being read by the photodiode 409 is of a particular symbology type, e.g. a bar code conforming to a UPC code symbology. (see figure 4B and col. 13, line 17+).

Li et al teach in the background that if the code is successfully and completely decoded the decoding process terminates and an indicator of a successful read (such as green light or audible sound) (see col. 4, lines 3-14), but fail to disclose or fairly suggest that the indication

Art Unit: 2876

.)

means of the reader are LEDs (i.e. photo, illumination, lights) or vibration signal for indicating a valid read.

Clark et al disclose a system for enabling decoding of bar codes comprising: illuminating an LED to alert an operator when the bar code has been successfully decoded (see col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 4).

In view of Clack et al's teachings, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the well-known light emitting diodes (LED) indicating means for indicating to an operator when a code has been successfully decoded. Such modification would make the system more effective and more practical by providing visual indicating means for validating each scan of the system so that the operator could proceed with further scanning. With regard to the using sound/vibrating indicating means, they are functionally equivalent as the LEDS means. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Li et al.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/20/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. (see examiner remarks).

REMARKS:

In response to the applicant argument that the reference does not teach a multicolor indicator, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The LED is capable of being a multicolor indicator, wherein the LED could display a different color indicating the result of the read operation. As evidence, see the new cited reference Leister (5,196,686, col. 2, line 37-52), where

Art Unit: 2876

green is displayed for good scan and red for invalid scan. The applicant argument is not persuasive. Refer to the rejection above.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 571-272-2407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel St.Cyr Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS

August 2, 2004